



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/677,263	09/30/2000	Xia Kevin Dai	042390.P9724	2093	
75	590 04/09/2003				
John Patrick Ward Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER		
			YANCHUS III, PAUL B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2007	,0020 1020		2185	1	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

					PAG				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	, ,				
Office Action Summary		09/677,263		DAI, XIA KEVIN					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Paul B Yanchus		2185					
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence add	iress				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified al - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or of	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply l above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely, e mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to con	mmunication(s) filed on <u>04 /</u>	<i>1ay 2001</i> .	•						
2a) This action is FIN	AL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
	re pending in the application								
_	aim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from considera	ation.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/a	_								
8)[_] Claim(s) are Application Papers	e subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment.						
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§									
13) Acknowledgment is	s made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	* c)☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified cop	ies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.						
2. Certified cop	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
applicati	e certified copies of the prior on from the International Bu tailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	l7.2(a)).		Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).				
	of the foreign language promade of a claim for domesti								
Attachment(s)			-						
Notice of References Cited (F2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Stater	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		PTO-413) Paper No(stent Application (PTC					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office As	tion Summany		Part of	Paper No. 5				

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "the sleep state" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2185

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19,20, 31-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noble et al., US Patent no. 5,760,636.

As per claims, 1-7, 9-10 and 31-32, Noble et al. teaches a system comprising:

a component [column 8, lines 20-40];

a detector to detect a power management event [column 8, lines 20-40]; and

a controller to transition a first setting of the component from a first performance mode to a second performance mode [column 8, lines 40-55],

the controller to change a second setting of the component from a first performance mode to a second performance mode [column 8, lines 40-55].

Noble et al. teaches transitioning a level of voltage supplied to a processor from a low power state to a normal power state, in response to detection of a desire to change a computer system in low power mode to normal power mode. After voltage to the processor is increased, the core clock frequency of the processor is increased from a low frequency level to a higher frequency level.

As per claims, 11-17, 19-20 and 34-35, Noble et al. teaches a system comprising:

a component [column 7, lines 55-60];

a detector to detect a power management event [column 7, lines 55-60]; and

Art Unit: 2185

a controller to transition a first setting of the component from a first performance mode to a second performance mode [column 8, lines 5-10],

the controller to change a second setting of the component from a first performance mode to a second performance mode [column 8, lines 10-20].

Noble et al. teaches transitioning a core clock frequency of a processor from a normal frequency state to a lower frequency state, in response to detection of a desire to change a computer system in normal power mode to low power mode. After core clock frequency of the processor is decreased, voltage supplied to the processor is decreased from a normal level to a lower level.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 18, 21-30, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noble et al., US Patent no. 5,760,636, in view of Pole, II et al., US Patent no. 6,311,281.

As per claims 8, 18, 33 and 36, Noble teaches a system, as described above, but does not explicitly teach detecting a power management event that includes a change of power source between an internal power source and an external power source. In summary, Noble et al. does not teach changing the operating state of a processor based on the type of power source supplying power to the system.

Art Unit: 2185

Pole, II et al. teaches changing the operating state of a processor based on the type of power source supplying power to the system. Pole, II et al. teaches operating a processor in a high performance state when a large amount of power is available to the processor and operating the processor in a lower performance mode when a lesser amount of power is available to the processor [column 2, lines 25-35].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Noble et al. and Pole, II et al. Changing the operating modes of a processor based on the power supplied to a system enables a mobile computer system to save power when power is the greatest concern or operate faster when performance is the greatest concern [column 2, lines 25-35].

As per claims, 21-30, Noble et al. and Pole, II et al. teach a system comprising a processor which changes operating states based on power management events, as described above. Therefore, Noble et al. and Pole, II et al. also teach a computer readable medium, which causes a processor to change operating states based on power management events.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B Yanchus whose telephone number is (703) 305-8022. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-5:30 (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2185

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9183 for regular communications and (703) 746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Paul Yanchus April 4, 2003

THOMAS LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100